



REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS (RFP)
PROFESSIONAL SERVICES FOR
PREPARATION OF WASTEWATER TREATMENT MASTER PLAN
PROJECT NO. 24-02

IRONHOUSE SANITARY DISTRICT
450 Walnut Meadows Drive
Oakley, California 94561

Issue Date: August 12, 2024

Proposal Due Date: August 30, 2024

Tyson Zimmerman
Assistant General Manager
Ironhouse Sanitary District
Phone (925) 809-3011
Email Zimmerman@isd.us.com

IRONHOUSE SANITARY DISTRICT

REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS FOR WASTEWATER MASTER PLAN

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>PAGE</u>
1. INTRODUCTION	3
A. Summary	3
B. Tentative Schedule	3
2. BACKGROUND	4
A. Project Background.....	4
B. Project Coordination	8
3. SCOPE OF WORK	9
4. REFERENCE INFORMATION	9
5. BUDGET	9
6. SUBMITTAL REQUIREMENTS	10
A. Requests for Information.....	10
B. Proposal Submittal Requirements.....	10
C. Proposal Format	11
D. Proposed Fee	14
7. EVALUATION AND SELECTION CRITERIA	14
A. Evaluation Process	14
B. Interview Requirements	15
C. Consultant Selection Criteria	16
ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF ADDENDUM FORM (“ACKNOWLEDGMENT”)	2
Addendum Date	2

8 PROFESSIONAL SERVICES AGREEMENT AND RELATED MATTERS

- A. Professional Services Agreement
- B. District’s Right to Amend
- C. Selected Respondent’s Refusal to Execute

9 PROPOSAL PRE-SUBMITTAL INSTRUCTIONS

- 9.1 Distribution List

- 9.2 Deadline for Submittal of Written Questions
- 9.3 Addendum/Addenda to this RFP
- 9.4 Acknowledgment of Receipt of Addendum
- 9.5 Acknowledgment of Receipt of Addendum

10 PROPOSAL PREPARATION AND SUBMITTAL INSTRUCTIONS

- 10.1 Proposal Format
 - 10.1.1 Section 1 – Project Organization
 - 10.1.2 Section 2 – Approach
 - 10.1.3 Section 3 – References
 - 10.1.4 Section 4 – Level of Effort Estimate
 - 10.1.5 Section 5 – Resumes
 - 10.1.6 Section 6 – Pricing Proposal
 - 10.1.7 Section 7 – Prior Litigation / Claims
 - 10.1.8 Section 8 – Financial and Related Information
 - 10.1.9 Section 9 – Discretionary Supplemental Materials
 - 10.1.10 Miscellaneous Procedures
- 10.2 Proposal Submittal
 - 10.2.1 Proposal Submission
- 10.3 Proposal Withdrawal
- 10.4 Irregular Proposals
- 10.5 District's Right to Reject or Terminate RFP

11 PROPOSAL EVALUATION AND SELECTION PROCESS

- 11.1 Selection Committee
- 11.2 Evaluation Process
- 11.3 Interviews
- 11.4 Selection Process
- 11.5 Right to Protest
- 11.6 Post Approval Procedures

12 CONDITIONS GOVERNING THIS RFP

- 12.1 Confidentiality
- 12.2 Insurance
- 12.3 Applicable Laws/Miscellaneous

Attachments

- Exhibit A Form of Professional Services Agreement
- Exhibit B Acceptance of Form of Professional Services Agreement (Separate PDF)
- Exhibit C Acknowledgement of Receipt of RFP Form
- Exhibit D Proposal Authorization
- Exhibit E Acknowledgment of Addendum
- Exhibit F Conceptual Scope of Work (Separate MS Word.Doc)

1. INTRODUCTION

A. Summary

Ironhouse Sanitary District (ISD, District) is seeking proposals from qualified and experienced firms to provide professional services to prepare a Wastewater Treatment Master Plan (Master Plan) for its Water Recycling Facility (WRF). The Master Plan will determine the future direction, capital improvement budgets, and priorities for the District's wastewater treatment plant, as well as Biosolids treatment facilities and use of recycled water. Proposals will be evaluated and scored in accordance with the requirements described in this Request for Proposals. The top three ranked proposals will be invited to oral interviews. The final selection of the top-ranked Respondent will be based on the sum of the proposal and interview scores.

The Draft Professional Services Agreement whereby the consultant will perform its Services is contained in Exhibit A.

This RFP does not commit the District to pay any costs incurred in the preparation and presentation of submittals or to select any interested firms who respond.

The term Respondent refers to any legal entity or entities submitting a proposal in response to this Request for Proposals (RFP).

The successful Respondent will not be precluded from performing follow up projects, including preliminary, final design, or construction management activities, but will be required to compete for these projects identified in the Master Plan.

B. Tentative Schedule

The District has established the following target dates for issuance, receipt, and evaluation of the proposals. In addition, the approximate timeframe for the interviews and Master Plan schedules are included. The following dates are tentative, non-binding, and are subject to change without prior notice.

- **Request for Proposals August 12, 2024 – August 30, 2024**
- **Non Mandatory Pre-Proposal Meeting August 21, 2024**
- **Interviews September 6, 2024**
- **Anticipated Notice to Proceed..... October 2, 2024**
- **Wastewater Master Plan October 2, 2024 – June 30, 2025**
 - **Milestone 1 Draft CIP by February 21, 2025**
 - **Milestone 2: Draft Master Plan by March 15, 2025**

2. BACKGROUND

A. Project Background

ISD provides sewage collection, treatment, and disposal services for the City of Oakley, the unincorporated area of Bethel Island, and other unincorporated areas, including the East Cypress Corridor Specific Plan Area. In October 2011, Ironhouse completed the construction of its Water Recycling Facility (WRF), a new wastewater treatment plant to enable the District to meet capacity demands in our growing community.

The WRF is located on a 285-acre site that includes the District offices, the treatment and storage facilities, a solar field that provides power to the WRF, and agricultural fields farmed by a lessee. The District also owns Jersey Island, which is approximately 3,500 acres.

The WRF was constructed in 2011 to replace an existing wastewater treatment facility that had reached its capacity and could not comply with newer, more stringent discharge limits. The WRF was designed to accommodate the flows and loads associated with the domestic and commercial wastewater generated from a population of approximately 53,000 residents, with expansion capability designed to service 84,800 residents.

The wastewater treatment process includes an influent pump station, mechanical bar screens, a vortex grit separator, fine drum screens, Modified Ludzack-Ettinger (MLE) anoxic-aeration basins, membrane bioreactors, and UV disinfection. The facility is equipped with four aeration basin treatment trains. Currently, two of the four aeration basin trains are being used for wastewater treatment. The aerobic zones of a third train (Train 1) are being used as an aerobic digester for Waste Activated Sludge (WAS). The volume of the aerated zones used for WAS treatment is approximately 400,000 gallons. The aerobic zones of the fourth train (Train 2) are filled with plant utility water and used to blow off excess air from the aeration basin blowers, as the blowers do not have the turndown required to provide the lower-than-anticipated air demand from the biological process. The original WRF design provided for expansion to accommodate 84,800 residents by constructing two additional MLE treatment trains. The treated effluent is discharged into the San Joaquin River or used for recycled water use. The plant produces Title 22 recycled water for on-site use, landscape irrigation, and other recycled water uses within the District's service area.

The WRF currently receives domestic and commercial wastewater flows from a service area population of approximately 47,400. District experience has shown that two secondary reactors that are online can accommodate the current population of 47,400, assuming the limiting factors are a maximum mixed liquor suspended solids concentration of 10,000 mg/L while maintaining a minimum Solids Retention Time (SRT) needed to achieve nitrification. Therefore, it appears that if operated under these conditions, the three reactors online should accommodate a population larger than 53,000 residents.

Presently, approximately 600 dry tons of biosolids are produced annually. Biosolids are dewatered by two belt filter presses. The belt filter presses typically produce 13 to 15 percent dry solids cake. The dewatered cake is discharged into hauling trucks for offsite transport. During wet weather periods, dewatered biosolids are hauled to a commercial land application facility. During dry weather periods, dewatered biosolids are hauled to the District's Land Application Area (LAA) on Jersey Island. Currently, ISD is complying with the Class B biosolids requirements contained in 40 CFR Part 503. The District is currently permitted to land apply biosolids from its WRF, and potentially Class B biosolids from other Publicly Owned Treatment Works (POTWs), on farm fields located on the District-owned Jersey Island. To accomplish this objective, new solids treatment and storage facilities will be required. While this is a viable option, the District is open to other alternatives for biosolids management. The District currently has adequate facilities for dewatering biosolids, and space has been identified to expand these facilities in the future. A Biosolids Master Plan was completed in 2021, and its findings should be considered and incorporated in this Master Plan.

Historically, disinfected tertiary reclaimed water was used to irrigate the LAA. However, primarily due to the costs associated with continued management of the LAA as an irrigation reuse site, the District has recently begun discharging flows to the San Joaquin River year-round. Therefore, the LAA is currently irrigated with surface water diverted from surrounding waterways.

The Regional Board regulates discharges of recycled water and land application of biosolids under the Waste Discharge Requirements (WDRs) Order R5-2013-0010 and the Revised Monitoring and Reporting Program R5-2013-0010 Revision No. 1 (MRP). The WDRs limit biosolids application on Jersey Island to 2,300 dry tons per year. Recycled Water distribution is regulated by the State Water Board General Order WQ 2016-0068-DDW. The District just received a new NPDES permit R5-2024-0038.

The WRF also includes an emergency retention basin (South Pond) and an effluent storage basin (North Pond). The South Pond can receive both diverted raw sewage in the case of a plant upset or equipment failure and diverted treated effluent in the case of permit noncompliance. Water sent to the South Pond can drain by gravity back to the influent pump station. The South Pond has an area of approximately 16.0 acres, a maximum depth of 7.0 feet, and a maximum volume of approximately 38 million gallons. The North Pond was historically used to store treated effluent during the land application season. Currently, this pond is used to store treated effluent in case of permit noncompliance. The north effluent storage pond has an area of approximately 35.5 acres, a maximum depth of 6.5 feet, and a maximum volume of approximately 76 million gallons. The District does not envision needing the use of both ponds to support future operations. Therefore, some of the pond areas may be repurposed for biosolids treatment or storage facilities.

The District, having completed the construction of the Water Recycling Facility (WRF) in 2011, it is time to evaluate and plan for the Treatment Plant of the Future Planning in FY 2024-25 as part of the Master Plan. The District has identified the need for a wastewater treatment master plan to develop near and long-term strategies for transitioning the District's existing facilities into the "Plant of the Future" and to provide critical information to the District Board in establishing key fiscal policies and address

regulatory, growth, and asset management drivers. The Wastewater Treatment Master Plan (Master Plan) will provide the framework for decisions related to sustainable operations and management of the District's existing wastewater treatment plant assets and provide a long-term (10-year) Capital Improvement Plan (CIP) that will incorporate projects within the existing Capital Improvement Plan that are consistent with long-term Master Plan goals and the District's Strategic Plan.

With the potential changes in future State and/or Federal water, air, and biosolids regulations, there is a need to develop a Master Plan that coordinates regulatory-driven changes with aging infrastructure needs and capacity-related and optimization improvements.

The Master Plan will be a critical tool used by the District to achieve goals and implement strategies outlined in the District's 5-year Strategic Plan 2022-2027 (SP27+):

- **Services and Assets:** ISD will continue to be an industry leader that serves our customers by maintaining and improving our services and assets as public investments.
- **Environmental and Resource Stewardship** ISD will be an innovative leader by implementing practices and projects that promote resource recovery to protect community health and the environment.
- **Customer and Community Engagement** ISD will remain an accountable, inclusive, and transparent partner as we proactively engage our stakeholders to maximize the benefit of our resources for the betterment of our community at large.
- **Strategic Partnerships and Advocacy** ISD will cultivate strategic relationships and identify common goals to advocate at the local, regional, state, and federal levels to promote our shared policy and funding interests.
- **Enduring Organization** ISD will continue to thrive by valuing our ratepayers' investment through fiscal responsibility, empowering employees, and ensuring health & wellness within the organization.

Key Issues

Treatment of Waste Activated Sludge

The two MLE trains currently being used for wastewater treatment are at or near capacity for the current service population. To ensure the ongoing reliability and redundancy of the WRF secondary treatment process, a third MLE train will need to come online soon. A fourth train will be needed to provide system redundancy (and potentially aeration system blowoff). The Master Plan will need to identify a strategy for the treatment of WAS that also provides for a redundant MLE treatment train.

Expansion of Land Application Operations

ISD plans to transition land-applying all the biosolids generated at the WRF on Jersey Island. However, land-applying biosolids are not possible during portions of the winter because Jersey Island may become saturated due to rainfall, and the facility's WDR does not allow biosolids to be applied to "water-saturated or frozen ground." This requires that a means to store dewatered biosolids be provided.

Regionalization

The District is participating in the Bay Area Biosolids Coalition. Currently, the Coalition is examining the potential development of regional biosolids storage and drying facilities, and the District's properties are one of the sites being evaluated. The Master Plan should evaluate whether participation in a regional project provides a more economical and/or reliable long-term solution than pursuing biosolids processing and disposal alone.

Plant Capacity

An assessment of the current WRF capacity is needed as part of the scope of this Master Plan.

- The District would bring a third MLE treatment train online to accommodate the current planned population of 53,000. The fourth train could serve as a redundant system. This is defined as the Phase 1 condition.
- When expanded, the WRF will need to handle the wastewater generated from the service area buildout population of 84,800. This is defined as the Phase 2 condition.
- The Master Plan will need to evaluate the benefits and issues associated with increasing the rated treatment capacity of the MLE treatment trains from the perspective of solids treatment. For example, it might be beneficial to establish a minimum SRT in the aeration basins to provide for some of the required biosolids treatment.
- The District will provide the selected team with a copy of the BioWin® model that was recently prepared for the secondary process.

Odor Control Considerations

The WRF is located adjacent to existing and planned residential development. Therefore, any solution for addressing biosolids treatment and storage must consider means and facilities to manage odors to appropriate levels.

Flooding Considerations

The WDRs require that biosolids storage sites be designed and maintained to prevent washout and inundation from a flood with a return frequency of 100 years and that biosolids storage must contain leachate and precipitation from a 10-year, 24-hour storm event. The land surrounding the WRF is located within the 100-year flood plain, with flood elevation at 9 feet above sea level and surface elevations ranging from 6 to 8 feet above sea level. Jersey Island is also located within the 100-year flood plain, with flood elevation at 9 feet above sea level. However, surface elevations range from -15 feet below sea level to

approximately sea level.

B. Project Coordination

The District has several ongoing and future planning projects and is participating in regional efforts that will require close coordination with the Master Plan. The Master Plan consultant will be required to incorporate many of the findings and results of these concurrent efforts into the Master Plan evaluations and recommendations. The following is a partial list of ongoing and future efforts related to the Master Plan that are separate efforts and/or are being completed under separate contracts:

- Cost of Service Study Ongoing with NBS Partners.
- Staffing Needs Analysis Ongoing with NBS Partners.
- Energy Optimization Study with NV5.
- IT Master Plan (Pending).
- Collection System Master Plan – Vivian Housen and Associates.
- Recycled Water EPA Grant with Diablo Water (Inter-agencies).

The Master Plan consultant will also need to coordinate with the District to confirm the scope and timing of several near-term capital improvement projects.

2.1 Definitions of Terms

This section contains definitions that are used throughout this RFP, including appropriate abbreviations as indicated.

- “Addendum/Addenda” is all amendments to this RFP and all responses to written questions regarding this RFP issued by the District in a written format and incorporated by reference into this RFP.
- “Board” is the District’s governing body.
- “District” is the Ironhouse Sanitary District.
- “Distribution List” is the list of interested parties to be used by the District to notify potential Respondents of the availability of all Addenda prepared by District. It is each Respondent’s sole responsibility to ensure that it has obtained and reviewed all information available on the RFP website and has obtained all Addenda issued by District.
- “Professional Services Agreement” or “PSA” is the Professional Services Agreement, including Appendices, initially in the form attached hereto as Exhibit A, to be executed by District and the Selected Respondent. The Selected Respondent shall execute a single Professional Services Agreement for the provision of professional services.
- “Project” is the Preparation of a Master Plan.
- “District Project Manager” is a person authorized by the District to manage or administer the RFP process and to whom all communications, both written and oral, shall be directed during the RFP issuance, evaluation, and selection process.

- “Qualification” is a written response to this RFP, including all Exhibits, supplementary materials, and attachments thereto, pursuant to the requirements set forth in this RFP.
- “Request for Qualifications/Proposals” or “RFP” is any and all documents comprising this RFP package, including those documents and attachments hereto and any and all Addendum/Addenda which the District may issue.
- “Respondent” is an individual, firm, partnership, corporation, consortium, joint venture, or other entity that chooses to submit a Proposal in response to this RFP.
- “Selected Respondent” is a Respondent selected and approved by the Board to execute the Professional Services Agreement.
- “Services” are the engineering work product or deliverables, other work and services, and equipment and materials to be furnished under the Professional Services Agreement.

3. SCOPE OF WORK

Exhibit F includes a conceptual scope of work for the Master Plan. This conceptual scope includes preliminary descriptions of the master plan tasks that the District anticipates for the Master Plan report. The scope is not intended to be a complete list of services that will be required for the Master Plan.

The District expects that the Master Plan work will be completed in a series of technical memorandums (TMs), which will constitute the various chapters of the Master Plan. Each TM will be prepared as a draft, presented to District staff, reviewed by District staff, and finalized incorporating District comments. The District expects that final Master Plan summary reports with executive summaries for the Wastewater Treatment Plant will be prepared at the end of the project summarizing the work completed with the TMs included as supplemental attachments.

A key deliverable will be the Capital Improvement Plan (CIP) over a 10-year planning horizon (through FY 34-35), which provides the descriptions, rationale, and estimated costs for the District’s treatment capital improvement projects to address aging infrastructure, meet existing and anticipated regulatory requirements, accommodate planned growth, optimize energy use, and implement the District’s vision for a “plant of the future.”

4. REFERENCE INFORMATION

District studies, reports, and other relevant wastewater treatment plant and collection system background information for this project are available as PDF electronic copies from the District.

5. BUDGET

The District budgeted the amounts listed in Table 1 to complete the various Master

Plan scope elements for the Consultant's level of effort. The scope and level of effort in the proposal should ideally be commensurable with the budget and proposed team member availability as stated in the RFP.

TABLE 1 MASTER PLAN BUDGETS	
Scope Element	Budgeted Level of Consultant's Effort
Treatment Plant Master Plan	\$350,000
As Needed Optional Tasks	\$100,000
Total Budgeted Amount	\$450,000

6. SUBMITTAL REQUIREMENTS

A. Requests for Information

All questions and discussions concerning this RFP must be directed to ironhousesd@isd.us.com. Include "**Wastewater Treatment Master Plan – RFP Questions**" in the subject line of the email. The deadline for submitting questions, objections, and/or clarifications is **4:00 PM on August 23, 2024**. All questions must be submitted by e-mail.

The District's anticipated deadline for responding to questions is **August 26, 2024**. The RFP and any subsequent addenda to the RFP will be emailed to each team and posted to the District website.

B. Proposal Submittal Requirements

Deliver the following items in an email entitled "**Wastewater Treatment Master Plan Proposal by [Respondent's Name]**":

- 1) Respondent's Proposal: Submit one proposal in a PDF format.
- 2) Respondent's Fee: Submit one separate PDF file with the Respondent's fee clearly labeled "**Wastewater Treatment Master Plan Proposal – Fee by [Respondent's Name]**".
- 3) Work Product Examples: Submit two recent (5 years or less) Master Plan Examples (2) PDF format, for each work product example, with a cover or cover letter labeled "**Comprehensive Wastewater Master Plan Proposal – Work Product Example by [Respondent's Name]**".
- 4) Respondent's Insurance Documents: Submit one PDF copy of the Respondent's insurance certificates in a file clearly labeled "**Wastewater Treatment Master Plan Proposal – Insurance Documents from [Respondent's Name]**".

Proposals are due to be submitted to the District no later than 4:00 p.m. on August **30, 2024, via Email**:

Ironhouse Sanitary District
Attention:
Tyson Zimmerman, AGM
at: ironhousesd@isd.us.com

Late submissions, including those received late due to delivery service failure, will not be considered. Proposals submitted by fax or by mail will not be accepted.

C. Proposal Format

Proposals shall be clear and concise, responsive to all RFP requirements, and formatted as follows:

- The Proposal shall consist of the following sections, separated by tabs:

TABLE 2 PROPOSAL PAGE LIMITS	
PROPOSAL SECTIONS	PAGE LIMITS
• COVER LETTER	1
• EXECUTIVE SUMMARY AND PROJECT UNDERSTANDING	2
• FIRM EXPERIENCE AND QUALIFICATIONS	5
• PROJECT TEAM, AVAILABILITY AND QUALIFICATIONS	5
• QUALITY ASSURANCE/QUALITY CONTROL PROGRAM	1
• SCHEDULE	1
EXHIBIT F – SCOPE OF WORK	-
RESUME OF KEY PROJECT TEAM MEMBERS	-

- Page limits are based on single-sided pages. Double-sided pages count as two (2) pages.
- Pages shall be 8 ½"x11" and have margins no smaller than 1" on all sides.
- Tables and charts can be used in 11" x 17" landscape format. Each 11" x 17" page shall be single-sided and count as one (1) page.
- Font size shall be no smaller than 11pt.
- Every page of the submittal shall be numbered, except divider pages. Each page of the scope of work included in Exhibit F shall have its own page numbering.
- **Cover Letter**: The Cover Letter shall:
 - Be signed by an individual authorized to obligate the Respondent to fulfill the commitments contained in the proposal.
 - Include a statement of the Respondent's overall approach, commitment, and availability to conduct the work.

- iii. Include the name and address of the Prime Respondent. Addresses shall be for the location where most of the day-to-day engineering work will be accomplished.
- **Executive Summary:** The Executive Summary shall, at a minimum, cover the following topics:
 - i. Summarize the Respondent's project approach, quality assurance/quality control program, and schedule.
 - ii. Include a statement confirming the proposed team members and team members' availability and commitment for the project's duration.
- **Firm Experience and Qualifications:** The Firm Experience shall, at a minimum, cover the following topics:
 - i. Include a firm experience in preparing a Wastewater Treatment Master Plans.
 - Provide two recent Wastewater Treatment Master Plan examples prepared by your firm over the past 5 years (preferably).
 - Preferred relevancy and experience:
 - BNR/MBR plants 2-10 mgd in capacity with UV Disinfection.
 - Master Plan in the state of California and ideally for a plant located in Northern California.
 - Ironhouse is regulated by the State Water Resources Board District 5 (Central Valley) and discharges in the San Joaquin River. Ironhouse is not a member of BACWA, and the WRF is already removing nutrients to low levels.
 - WAS Only Aerobic Digestion.
- **Team Experience and Qualifications:** The Project Team Experience shall, at a minimum, cover the following topics:
 - (a) Include a proposed organization chart with a brief description of the key professional personnel, emphasizing experience directly related to responsibilities on this project and experience in preparing Wastewater Treatment Master Plans.
 - (b) Key qualifications:
 - The Project Manager must hold a valid professional

engineering (PE) license in the State of California.

- Provide two recent Wastewater Treatment Master Plan examples prepared by the PM over the past 15 years (preferably).
- Preferred relevancy and experience:
 - BNR/MBR plants 2-10 mgd in capacity with UV Disinfection.
 - Master Plan in the state of California and ideally for a plant located in Northern California.
 - Ironhouse is regulated by the State Water Resources Board District 5 (Central Valley) and discharges in the San Joaquin River. Ironhouse is not a member of BACWA, and the WRF is already removing nutrients to low levels.
 - WAS Only Aerobic Digestion

(c) Include a table showing each proposed key member's current commitments on other projects for other clients (client name and project name) and list the proposed level of commitment for the Master Plan Project.

- **Quality Assurance/Quality Control Program:** The Quality Assurance/Quality Control shall:
 - i. Include a system and/or methods for controlling the master plan schedule and cost to deliver the project.
 - ii. Include a proposed project status reporting system.
 - iii. Include a method for performing quality assurance/quality control on project deliverables from not only a technical standpoint but also regulatory and financial/economic standpoints (validation of CIP costs).
- **Schedule:** The Schedule shall:
 - i. Include a detailed schedule for the tasks described in the Respondent's Scope of Work. (Does not need to be prepared using MS Project)
 - ii. Include expected starting dates, project completion dates, and any major milestones.
 - iii. State critical assumptions used for developing the time requirements and schedule.
- **Exhibit F—Scope of Work:** The District has prepared a draft scope of work that is attached to Exhibit F. The Consultant shall use MS Word with the track changes feature to highlight proposed changes and possible additions for the District to

consider during negotiation.

The Exhibit F – Scope of Work shall:

- i. Include a detailed scope of work that incorporates, at a minimum, the conceptual scope identified by the District in Exhibit F of the RFP.
 - ii. Include recommended meetings and workshops as needed to complete the Scope of Work.
 - iii. Include deliverables (TMs, executive summaries, software, models, tools, and other documents to be provided to the District).
 - iv. Include descriptions of what assumptions are made for information that the District is to provide to the Consultant to complete each task.
 - v. Scope of Work for the Treatment Plant Master Plan, provide descriptions that shall be commensurate to the Respondent's Proposed Fee.
 - vi. The proposed Scope of Work will be used in the agreement with the District pending scope and budget negotiation.
- **Work Product Examples:** The Work Product Examples shall include:
 - i. Two (2) examples of a work product produced for other master plans of similar scope and budget. The work product example may be submitted as PDFs.

D. Proposed Fee

The Respondent's Proposed Fee shall include an estimate for required personnel hours shown for the Master Plan, task, team member/job title, hourly billing rates, sub-consultant markup costs, other direct costs (ODCs), and an estimated budget for the work. The Proposed Fee shall be developed in a contract format and coordinated with the proposed Scope of Work such that it could be used in the agreement with the District pending scope and budget negotiation.

During the negotiation, the District staff will evaluate whether the appropriate level of effort (hours and fees) is proportional and commensurate with the scope. It should also be noted that the District reserves the right to request changes in maximum billing rates and review the reasonableness of overhead rates, ODCs, and expenses, including air travel and mark-ups.

All Respondents' submitted fees shall be honored until an agreement has been executed. Compensation will be based on an hourly rate with a fixed cost ceiling. Billing rate escalation shall be included in the overall proposed fee. During the agreement, billing rates may not be escalated unless the project's proposed schedule is significantly extended, at which time, new rates may be incorporated into the agreement; however, the cost ceiling shall remain the same. The cost ceiling shall only be modified as mutually agreed to by both the District and Respondent and as stipulated in the agreement due to changes in scope.

7. EVALUATION AND SELECTION CRITERIA

A. Evaluation Process

The District will evaluate and score the proposals using the following percent-weighted evaluation criteria shown in Table 3:

TABLE 3 PROPOSAL EVALUATION CRITERIA	
EVALUATION CRITERIA	PERCENT OF WEIGHTED EVALUATION
PROPOSAL FORMAT AND ORGANIZATION	5
FIRM EXPERIENCE – TREATMENT PLANT MASTER PLAN	30
PROJECT TEAM EXPERIENCE	30
TEAM AVAILABILITY	10
QUALITY ASSURANCE/QUALITY CONTROL PROGRAM	5
ABILITY TO MEET SCHEDULE	10
FEE SCHEDULE AND PROPOSED LEVEL OF EFFORTS	10
TOTAL	100

B. Interview Requirements

The top three scoring consulting firms and teams based on the proposal score that submit a proposal will be invited to participate in the interview. All interviews will be conducted on **Friday, September 6th, 2024**, at the District's offices at **450 Walnut Meadows Drive, Oakley, CA 94561 in the Board Room**. The District anticipates the interviews to be 1 hour in length. The first 30 minutes will allow the Respondent to present their proposal, and the remaining 30 minutes will be for questions and discussion. The interview order will be selected randomly using blind ballots by the Secretary of the District.

The Respondent's attendance at the interview will be limited to a maximum of six (6) members of the Respondent's team. The Respondent must select the attendees from the proposed team submitted in the Respondent's SOQ. The District will contact each Respondent by **September 3, 2024**, to notify them of their respective scheduled interview allocated time slots and to convey any additional interview guidelines or changes.

The District's interview panel may consist of District and/or non-district personnel. The panel will score each interview using the following percent-weighted evaluation criteria, shown in Table 4.

- Oral Presentation: The oral presentation will be evaluated based on its

completeness and approach to the work, creativity, and the ability of the Respondent to effectively communicate technical and non-technical information.

- Questions and Answers: The Respondent will be evaluated based on their ability to receive and respond to questions from the District's interview panel.
- Project Team: Individual members of the Respondent's team will be evaluated based on their understanding of the work, understanding of their respective roles on the project and area of expertise, interaction with each other and with the interview panel, and individual performance during the interview.

TABLE 4 INTERVIEW EVALUATION CRITERIA	
EVALUATION CRITERIA	PERCENT OF WEIGHTED EVALUATION
ORAL PRESENTATION	50
QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS	40
PROJECT TEAM	10
TOTAL	100

C. Consultant Selection Criteria

Final scoring and selection of the top-ranked Respondent will be based on the sum of their proposal and interview scores, as shown in Table 5. The proposal and interview scores used in the consultant selection process will not be available to Respondents until after the top-ranked Respondent is selected. Respondents, including the top-ranked Respondent, will not be disqualified from participating in future District work, including work, design, or construction-related services outlined in the Master Plan.

If there is a tie score between multiple firms/teams for the top-ranked Respondent, a follow-up supplemental interview with each Respondent with the top tie score will be scheduled to score and select the top-ranked Respondent based on the second interview.

The District anticipates negotiating an agreement with the top-ranked Respondent immediately following the selection and finalizing an agreement within three (3) weeks from the date of interviews to maintain the anticipated **Notice of Award to Proceed Date of October 2, 2024**. If the District is unable to negotiate an agreement with the top-ranked Respondent that is agreeable to both parties, the District may, at its discretion, terminate negotiations with the top-ranked Respondent and commence negotiations with the next highest-ranked Respondent.

It is critical that the proposed teams and team members included in the Consultant's Proposal remain unchanged throughout the Proposal and Interview process and throughout the Master Plan Project. Requested changes to the team members included in the Proposal

shall be submitted to the District by email for review. The District reserves the right to disqualify Respondents based on unacceptable changes to the proposed team. Proposed team members' commitments will be a component of the Master Plan agreement with the District.

TABLE 5 OVERALL CONSULTANT SELECTION CRITERIA	
SELECTION CRITERIA	PERCENT OF WEIGHTED SELECTION CRITERIA
PROPOSAL (RFP)	50
ORAL PRESENTATION/INTERVIEW	50
TOTAL	100

SECTION 8. PROFESSIONAL SERVICES AGREEMENT AND RELATED MATTERS

This RFP and the attached form of the Professional Services Agreement define the District's basic requirements and serve as the basis for submitting all Proposals in response to this RFP.

8.1 Professional Services Agreement

The district will expect the Selected Respondent to execute the attached form of the Professional Services Agreement for work, with only such changes as the District may approve in its sole discretion. Respondents should indicate any objections or requested changes to the form in their Proposals.

Each Respondent shall sign the Acceptance of Form of Professional Services Agreement (“**Acceptance**”) in the form attached hereto as Exhibit B, with the fixed price otherwise indicated in the Respondent's Proposal. Any requested modifications to the form of the Professional Services Agreement must be indicated by checking the appropriate box in Exhibit B and attaching the addendum referenced therein clearly identifying the Respondent's proposed modifications. Respondents' proposed modifications to the form of the Professional Services Agreement must be made in a “Strikeout” or “Underline” format.

8.2 District's Right to Amend

District reserves the right to make such modifications or additions to the form of the Professional Services Agreement attached as Exhibit A to this RFP, as District may elect in its sole discretion prior to the execution thereof and thereafter as otherwise permitted by the Professional Services Agreement. Otherwise, the District reserves the right to make modifications or additions with the mutual consent of the Selected Respondent.

8.3 Selected Respondent's Refusal to Execute

If the Selected Respondent refuses to execute the form of Professional Services Agreement in substantially the form attached as Exhibit A to this RFP, as modified by its Proposal, the District

may begin negotiations with the Respondent whose Proposal is determined to be the best alternative Proposal, determine that no such alternative exists, or exercise any other available right.

SECTION 9. PROPOSAL PRE-SUBMITTAL INSTRUCTIONS

To submit questions and receive answers to questions in a timely manner and to be placed on the Distribution List for any and all Addendum/Addenda issued by the District, potential Respondents must follow the guidelines specified in this section. Only information supplied by the District in writing through this RFP and Addendum/Addenda, if any, may be used as the basis for preparation of Respondents' Proposals. The standard format for submission of Proposals to be used by Respondents is described in Section 10.

9.1 Distribution List

Potential respondents should email the RFP contact Project Manager Acknowledgment of Receipt of RFP Form (“**Acknowledgment of RFP**”) attached as Exhibit C to this RFP to have their names placed on the Distribution List for this RFP, which will be used for the distribution of any and all notices issued by District. Potential respondents are therefore encouraged to return the Acknowledgment of RFP to the Project Manager as soon as possible. However, it remains Respondent's sole responsibility to ensure that Respondent has obtained all Addenda.

9.2 Non-Mandatory Pre-Proposal Conference

A non-mandatory Pre-Proposal Conference will be held **on August 21, 2024, at 10:00 a.m.** via Zoom meeting or in person at:

Ironhouse Sanitary District
450 Walnut Meadows Drive
Oakley, CA 94561

Please send an email to ironhousesd@isd.us.com
to receive a Zoom meeting link.

9.3 Deadline for Submittal of Written Questions

Potential Respondents may submit only written inquiries or requests regarding the intent and clarity of this RFP. Questions to be considered at the pre-submittal meeting must be directed to the Project Manager at least two days prior to that meeting. All written questions deemed relevant and not duplicative of information already contained in the RFP and received by the above date will be addressed at the meeting. Written questions should be submitted by email. The identity of the person/organization submitting the questions will not be revealed by the District at the meeting. Additional inquiries may be addressed at the meeting at the District's sole discretion. The deadline for submitting the questions will be **August 23, 2024, at 4: 00 pm.** Please send an email to ironhousesd@isd.us.com

9.4 Addendum/Addenda to this RFP

The District reserves the right to interpret or change any provisions of this RFP at any time. Such amendments, if any, shall be issued as written Addendum/Addenda to this RFP.

Additionally, the District may prepare answers, in the form of an Addendum to this RFP, to questions addressed by the District and as the District otherwise deems appropriate. Written responses, in the form of an Addendum and amendments, if any, to this RFP will be posted on the District's RFP website. Courtesy notification will be sent to all parties who register at this meeting and whose names are on the Distribution List. Any Addendum issued by the District may also be obtained in person from the office of the Project Manager. It is the sole responsibility of Respondent to ensure that it obtains all Addenda and acknowledges receipt of all Addenda in its Proposal.

9.5 Acknowledgment of Receipt of Addendum

Each Respondent is responsible for inquiring about the Addendum/Addenda issued by the District. All Addenda shall become part of this RFP, and all Respondents shall be bound by such Addenda, whether or not received by Respondent. Each Respondent must sign the Acknowledgment of Addendum Form ("**Acknowledgment**") attached to this RFP as Exhibit E and return the Acknowledgment Form with their Proposal Form. Failure to return the Acknowledgment Form shall constitute a presumption of withdrawal from the qualification process.

SECTION 10. PROPOSAL PREPARATION AND SUBMITTAL INSTRUCTIONS

This RFP and the attached form of the Professional Services Agreement define the District's basic requirements and serve as the basis for submitting all Proposals in response to this RFP.

10.1 Proposal Format

The proposal is required to contain the following information in addition to the requirements of 6. C Above.:

Project Organization

- Name of firm and subcontractors (if applicable), address, telephone number(s), email, name of responsible corporate officer(s), and office location where most of the day-to-day work will be accomplished.
- Project organization chart.
- Brief description of responsibilities of key professional personnel, emphasizing experience directly related to responsibilities on this project.
- Indicate which team members will participate in each area of the work.

References

Provide at least two (2) references for the key individuals on the project team.

This section should provide a short description of the project, the role of your firm or staff member, and the phone number of a specific contact person.

Level of Effort Estimate

In a separate PDF document addressed to the District's Project Manager, submit one copy of a level of effort estimate indicating the hours needed to complete the tasks outlined in the Scope above. The estimate should be an itemized staffing breakdown in spreadsheet form, indicating personnel classification and hours for each consultant team member and sub-consultant for each work task.

Resumes

Provide summary resumes of key professional personnel (not included in page count).

Pricing Proposal

All Respondents must provide a separate pdf document with their Pricing Proposal that identifies a not-to-exceed price for all "Basic Services" work under the PSA. The Pricing Proposal shall be for the provision of all Services under the PSA. The Pricing Proposal shall comply with the following requirements:

- **PRICING PROPOSAL.** A not-to-exceed dollar amount for all basic services required under the PSA, based on the parameters set forth in this RFP and the PSA. If Respondent believes other parameters are required to provide a not-to-exceed amount, Respondent shall specifically identify them in its Pricing Proposal.
- **REIMBURSABLE EXPENSES.** All reimbursable expenses must be included in the not-to-exceed proposed price.
- **ADDITIONAL SERVICES.** Billing rates for additional services.
- **OVERTIME.** Overtime must be included in Respondent's not-to-exceed proposed price.
- **TRAVEL.** Travel time must be included in Respondent's not-to-exceed proposed price.
- **SIGNATURE.** The handwritten signature of the Respondent.

Because this is a qualifications-based selection, only the Pricing Proposal from the firm deemed best qualified will be opened. The District shall negotiate a contract with the best-qualified firm for services at compensation that the District determines is fair and reasonable to the District. Should the District be unable to negotiate a satisfactory contract with the firm considered to be the most qualified, at a price the District determines to be fair and reasonable to the District, negotiations with that firm shall be formally terminated. The District shall then open the Pricing Proposal and undertake negotiations with the second most qualified firm. Failing accord with the second most qualified firm, the District shall terminate negotiations and undertake negotiations with the third most qualified firm.

Prior Litigation / Claims

If selected, describe any litigation or claims threatened, filed, or filed then settled against Respondent in the past five years. Provide a description of any litigation or claims threatened or filed by your firm or filed then settled in the past five years. If the litigation or claim has been resolved, describe the resolution. If the litigation or claim is pending, describe the status.

The respondent must also provide a list of all projects for which Respondent failed to complete any work awarded, with an explanation of the circumstances.

Financial and Related Information

Respondent is required to demonstrate that it is financially qualified to undertake the Services defined by this RFP. If selected, Respondent must submit audited and/or reviewed financial statements for the most recently completed three fiscal years.

Respondent must also provide a letter from its insurance broker attesting to their willingness to provide the required insurance coverages described in the Professional Services Agreement.

Discretionary Supplemental Materials

Respondent may include in its Proposal submittal, on a discretionary basis, other materials that it believes may improve the quality of its Proposal. Respondent must include an explanation of the relevancy of the other materials to the Proposal. Marketing brochures (if applicable to the specific Services for this Project) may be provided as a separate submittal.

Miscellaneous Procedures

Each copy of the transmittal letter and Acceptance must contain an original signature. Proposals submitted by partnerships must be signed with the partnership name, followed by the signature and designation of the partner signing. Proposals submitted by corporations must be signed with the legal name of the corporation followed by the name of the state of incorporation. Two signatures are required for corporations, as follows: the signature of the president, the chair of the board or any senior vice president or vice president AND the signature of the secretary, any assistant secretary, the chief financial officer, or any assistant treasurer of the corporation, or titled employees having the signature authority for the dollar value of Agreement. The name of each signatory must be typed below the signature names.

District may interview any or all of the client references provided by Respondent. Submittal of a Proposal constitutes Respondent's consent for the District to conduct reference checks and reasonable investigation of all information provided by Respondent.

All Proposals and accompanying documentation submitted by Respondents become the property of the District and, except as otherwise provided in this RFP, will not be returned.

Proposals may not be amended after submission.

Proposal Submission

It is the sole responsibility of Respondent to see that its Proposal is received in proper time.

Respondent shall carefully examine the instructions contained herein and satisfy itself as to the conditions with which it must comply prior to submitting its Proposal, and to the conditions affecting the award of contract.

If more than one Proposal is offered by any individual, firm, partnership, corporation, association, or any combination thereof, under the same or different names, all such Proposals shall be rejected.

All Respondents are hereby notified that any collusive agreement fixing prices so as to control or affects the awarding of this contract is in violation of the competitive bid requirements of State law and may render void any contract let under such circumstances.

Proposed prices shall be in effect for one hundred twenty (120) days from the date of Price Proposal submission.

10.2 Proposal Withdrawal

Any Respondent to this RFP may withdraw a Proposal by written notice delivered to the Project Manager prior to the due date and time specified for receipt of Proposals. The Respondent must, in person, retrieve the entire sealed submission package. Another Proposal may be submitted prior to the deadline. A Proposal may not be changed after the designated deadline for submission of Proposals.

10.3 Irregular Proposals

A Proposal may be rejected if it shows any alteration of form, additions not called for, conditional Proposals, incomplete Proposals, erasures, or irregularities of any kind. If the Proposal amount is changed after the amount is originally inserted, the change must be initialed.

10.4 District's Right To Reject or Terminate RFP

District expressly reserves the right to further consider, accept or reject any or all Proposals submitted in response to this RFP; to request additional information or clarification of information submitted; to cancel or modify, in part or in its entirety, this RFP, or to request new Proposals or pursue any other means for obtaining the services contemplated by this RFP and/or the Professional Services Agreement.

SECTION 11. PROPOSAL EVALUATION AND SELECTION PROCESS

11.1 Selection Committee

The district will appoint a Selection Committee to review and evaluate all Proposals, contact Respondents as required, request a Respondent to modify its proposed modifications to the Professional Services Agreement, and make recommendations regarding the selection of a

Selected Respondent. The Selection Committee may consist of District staff and others designated by the District.

District reserves the right, in its sole discretion, to waive minor irregularities, and to waive mandatory requirements provided that all of the otherwise responsive Proposals fail to meet the same mandatory requirements and the failure to do so does not otherwise materially affect this RFP and selection process.

District reserves the right to modify or suspend any and all aspects of the selection process indicated in this RFP, to waive any defects as to form or content of this RFP, or to reject any or all Proposals.

11.2 Evaluation Process

Proposals will be evaluated on a comparative, competitive, qualification basis, based upon the RFP's submittal requirements, including the experience, organization and qualifications of the firm and individuals proposed, and the offered price. Specific evaluation criteria will include:

- The ability, capacity, and skill of the Respondent to perform the Professional Services Agreement and perform the Services;
- The type of Services needed by the District in light of the nature of the project and budgetary issues;
- The master planning experience of Respondent;
- The years, number and types of projects the Respondent has previously worked on;
- The ability of the Respondent to effectuate the Services within the time specified, without delay;
- The character, integrity, reputation, judgment, experience, and efficiency of the Respondent;
- Respondent's claims / litigation experience;
- Whether the Respondent has satisfactorily performed the full range of Services required by this Project on other projects;
- Any other factor deemed to be relevant, in the District's sole discretion.

During the evaluation process the Selection Committee may conduct independent research, request additional relevant information specific to the evaluation process, and seek assistance from reliable sources to clarify, explain, or otherwise participate in the evaluation process without issuing an Addendum. Proposals will not be ranked based solely on cost. The Selection Committee shall make its decision based on committee members' analysis and assessment of each Proposal's positive, neutral, and negative attributes.

The process, procedures and evaluation criteria used by District staff and the Selection Committee in developing and issuing this RFP and evaluating the Proposals received for purposes of making a recommendation to the Board shall be determined in the sole discretion of the District. Respondents shall have no rights whatsoever regarding the processes and procedures used by the District relating to this RFP or the manner in which a Respondent is selected by either the Selection Committee or the Board, provided their decisions are not arbitrary and capricious, and there is some reasonable basis for the selection(s) made.

District reserves the right to conduct its own due diligence of the Respondent member prior to selection of a Selected Respondent including, without limitation, reference checks.

11.3 Interviews

The District, in its sole discretion, may prepare a short list of Respondents for interviews. Respondents who are invited to attend an interview will be notified in writing. Only the actual personnel who will be responsible for the Services should come to the interview.

If requested by District, qualified Respondents may be required to present their Proposals to the Selection Committee and submit to oral questions.

The Project Manager or other applicable District personnel has scheduled the interviews for **September 6, 2024**, at the District's offices or at such other time and/or location indicated by the District. Each Respondent should prepare a presentation not to exceed 30 minutes. Each Respondent is responsible for any special equipment required for the presentation. Projection equipment will be available in the District's conference room upon advance request by the Respondent. The total time allowed for each Proposal interview shall be 60 minutes, including set-up and questions and answers.

The interviews shall be solely for the benefit of the District and shall not be open to the public or other Respondents.

11.4 Selection Process

Based on the results of the evaluation process, District staff will recommend a Respondent to the District's Board. District staff will identify the Selected Respondent to be recommended to the Board and will use reasonable efforts to deliver notice to each Respondent who submitted Proposals no later than the business day after posting, although any delay or failure to do so will not extend the Proposal protest period described below.

The Board, in its sole discretion, may either approve, reject, or approve or reject with conditions, the District staff's recommendations. District reserves the right to modify the Proposal criteria outlined in this RFP.

Respondents to this RFP are instructed not to contact elected officials, District staff or members of the Selection Committee regarding the selection process. Efforts to lobby or influence individuals involved in this selection process may, at District's sole discretion, result in dismissal from further consideration.

11.5 Right to Protest

Respondents that the District otherwise determines are responsive and responsible have the right to protest; protests from any other Respondent will not be considered. Any protest must be delivered to the Ironhouse District's Office at 450 Walnut Meadows Drive, Oakley, California 94561, Attn: Tyson Zimmerman, AGM by 4:00 pm of the 7th calendar day following District staff's identification of the Selected Respondent to be recommended to the Board, and satisfy the following requirements:

- a. The initial protest must contain a complete statement of the basis for the protest.
- b. The protest must refer to the specific portion of the document that forms the basis for the protest.
- c. The protest must include the name, address, and telephone number of the person representing the protesting party.
- d. The party filing the protest must concurrently transmit a copy of the initial protest document and any attached documentation to all other parties with a direct financial interest that may be adversely affected by the outcome of the protest. Such parties shall include all other Respondents who appear to have a reasonable prospect of receiving an award depending upon the outcome of the protest.
- e. The procedure and time limits set forth in this paragraph are mandatory and are a Respondent's sole and exclusive remedy in the event of a Proposal protest. Respondent's failure to comply with these procedures shall constitute a waiver of any right to further pursue the Proposal protest, including filing a Government Code Claim or legal proceedings. A Respondent may not rely on a protest submitted by another Respondent but must timely pursue its own protest.

11.6 Post Approval Procedures

The Professional Services Agreement will be finalized promptly following Board approval of a final Respondent. In the event that the approved Respondent fails or refuses to sign a Professional Services Agreement acceptable to the District, District reserves the right to finalize a Professional Services Agreement with another qualified Respondent without undertaking a new RFP process or exercise any other available right. The time for awarding the contract may be extended by the District.

SECTION 12. CONDITIONS GOVERNING THIS RFP

12.1 Confidentiality

District has made a determination in accordance with Government Code Section 6255 that all Proposals submitted in response to this RFP shall not be made public by District until after District has executed a Professional Services Agreement with the Selected Respondent. In the event a Respondent wishes to claim portions of its Proposal exempt from disclosure under the Public Records Act, it is incumbent upon Respondent to clearly identify those portions with the word "confidential" printed on the lower right-hand corner of the page, along with a written justification as to why such information should be exempt from disclosure. However, District will make a decision based upon applicable laws.

District shall notify Respondent of any materials or information that District does not believe are entitled to exemption from the Public Records Act, and Respondent shall have five (5) business days from such notice to:

- withdraw its Proposal;
- withdraw such information from its Proposal; or
- withdraw such information and replace it with substituted information for which Respondent does not claim an exemption.

Proprietary or confidential data must be readily separable from the Proposal in order to facilitate eventual public inspection of the non-confidential portion of the Proposal. Confidential data is normally restricted to confidential financial information. The cost of Services shall not be designated as proprietary or confidential information.

12.2 Insurance for Master Plan Project

As set forth in Professional Services Agreement Exhibit A, insurance coverage shall be at least as broad as:

12.2.1 General Liability: FIVE MILLION DOLLARS (\$5,000,000.00) combined single limit per occurrence for bodily injury, personal injury, and property damage.

12.2.2 Auto Liability: Owned/Non-owned automobile liability insurance providing combined single limits covering bodily injury liability with limits of no less than ONE MILLION DOLLARS (\$1,000,000.00) per accident and providing property damage liability of no less the ONE MILLION DOLLARS (\$1,000,000.00) per accident.

12.2.3 Workers' Compensation Insurance: Workers' Compensation Insurance as required by the Labor Code of the State of California.

12.2.4 Professional Liability Insurance: Professional Liability Insurance with a minimum limit of \$5,000,000.00 per claim and in the aggregate.

12.2.5 Additional Insured Endorsements: The consultant shall name the District, the District's board members, and their respective officials, officers, directors, agents and employees as additional insureds on their commercial general liability and automobile insurance policies.

12.3 Applicable Laws/Miscellaneous

Respondents are required to sign and submit the Authorization (Exhibit D), stating their agreement to comply with the following terms and conditions of this RFP:

- a. The Selected Respondent shall maintain professional licenses required by the laws of the State of California at all times while performing Services for the Project.

- b. The Selected Respondent shall comply with the laws of the State of California requiring employers to insure against liability for Worker's Compensation while performing Services for this Project.
- c. All Services shall comply with all statutes, ordinances, regulations, codes, and requirements of all governmental entities, including federal, state, District, and municipal entities, relating to the Project.
- d. This RFP and any resultant Professional Services Agreement shall be governed by the laws of the State of California. The parties agree to the jurisdiction and venue of the appropriate courts in the County of Solano and the State of California.
- e. All data and information provided by District or referred to in this RFP is furnished for the convenience of interested parties in preparing a Proposal. The Respondent shall defend, indemnify and hold harmless District from any and all liability, claims, or expenses whatsoever, incurred by, or on behalf of, the Respondent's response to this RFP. District expressly disclaims any and all liability for representation or warranties, express or implied, contained in the RFP or any other written or oral communication transmitted or made available to interested parties, including any errors of omission.

LIST OF ATTACHMENTS

Exhibit A	Form of Professional Services Agreement
Exhibit B	Acceptance of Form of Professional Services Agreement (Attached as a separate PDF file)
Exhibit C	Acknowledgement of Receipt of RFP Form
Exhibit D	Proposal Authorization
Exhibit E	Acknowledgement of Addendum
Exhibit F	Conceptual Scope of Work (Attached as a separate MS Word.DOC file)

FORM OF PROFESSIONAL SERVICES AGREEMENT

INCLUDED AS A SEPARATE FILE

ACCEPTANCE OF FORM OF PROFESSIONAL SERVICES AGREEMENT

NAME OF RESPONDENT _____

The above Respondent hereby agrees to sign Professional Services Agreement substantially similar to the form of Professional Services Agreement attached to the RFP as Exhibit A, or has attached proposed modifications to the form of Professional Services Agreement as an Addendum to this signed Acceptance.

[Respondent must check one of the following boxes, and complete if applicable]

- ☐ Respondent's proposed modifications to the Professional Services Agreement are attached as Attachment[s] **[complete as applicable]** to this signed Acceptance, pursuant to the "Strikeout" or "Underline" format described in RFP Section 3.1.
- ☐ Respondent has no proposed modifications to the Professional Services Agreement.

Signature: _____

Print Name: _____

Title: _____

Date: _____

Exhibit C

ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF RECEIPT OF RFP FORM

In acknowledgment of receipt of this Request for Qualifications / Request for Proposal of the Ironhouse Sanitary District for Master Plan (“**RFP**”), the undersigned Respondent agrees that it has received a complete copy, beginning with the Title Page and Table of Contents and ending with Exhibit E.

This Acknowledgment of Receipt of RFP Form must be signed and returned to the Project Manager indicated at Ironhousesd@isd.us.com. Only interested parties who elect to return this Acknowledgment of Receipt of RFP Form completed with the indicated intention of submitting a Proposal will be placed on the distribution list for any and all notices regarding the RFP. The name and address provided below will be used for all written correspondence related to the RFP.

Firm: _____

Contact Name: _____

Title: _____

Telephone: _____

Facsimile: _____

E-mail: _____

Address: _____

City: _____ State: _____ Zip: _____

Signature: _____

Print Name: _____

Title: _____

Date: _____

This entity does ☐/does not ☐ intend to respond to this RFP [check appropriate box].

The respondent must return this signed form to the Project Manager at Ironhousesd@isd.us.com.

PROPOSAL AUTHORIZATION

NAME OF RESPONDENT _____

1. The above-named Respondent is a Respondent to the Request for Qualifications / Request for Proposals of the Ironhouse Sanitary District for professional services to prepare **a Wastewater Treatment Master Plan for its WRF ("RFP")** and possesses the legal authority to submit this Proposal.

2. The undersigned is authorized to conduct all negotiations for and legally bind the Respondent in all matters relating to this Proposal submittal.

3. The undersigned has reviewed, understands, is able to comply with, and agrees to be bound by the General Conditions Governing the RFP described in Section 7 of the RFP.

4. The undersigned grants the District a right to conduct reference checks and reasonable investigation of all information provided by the Respondent.

5. The undersigned certifies that this Proposal is irrevocable until 120 days after its submission date.

Signature: _____

Print Name: _____

Title: _____

Date: _____

ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF ADDENDUM FORM (“ACKNOWLEDGMENT”)

TO THE IRONHOUSE SANITARY DISTRICT

THIS ACKNOWLEDGMENT IS SUBMITTED BY:

(Firm/Company Name)

1. In submitting this Proposal, Proposer represents that the Proposer has examined all of the Contract Documents and the following Addenda (receipt of all of which is hereby acknowledged).

Addendum Number	Addendum Date	Signature of Proposer

**CONCEPTUAL SCOPE OF WORK
TREATMENT PLANT MASTER PLAN**

INCLUDED AS A SEPARATE Word.doc File

END OF RFP